Assessment: Course Four Column



Courses (BUS) - Management

MGT 283 Theriault:Intro Human Resource Management

Basic principles of Human Resource Management - Students willExam - BraunAbilty Case Study Exam 1Reporting Period: 2016-2017 Criterion Met: Yesunderstand the basic principles of Human Resource Management. Course Outcome Status: Active Next Assessment: 2016-2017Criterion: BruanAbility Case score at or above 70%BraunAbility Case Study results for those students w posted their work 77.5%Exam 1 75% or greaterExam 1 results for those students who posted their was 82.4% (09/07/2017)Start Date: 08/27/2013Start Date: 08/27/2013	was their first written submission
Basics of leadership - Students will understand the basics of leadership. Course Outcome Status: Active Next Assessment: 2016-2017 Start Date: 08/27/2013Discussion - Datotel Case Study Discussion 1 TO% or greaterReporting Period: 2016-2017 Criterion Met: Yes Datotel results for those student who submitted the was 83.8%Start Date: 08/27/2013Discussion 1 70% or greaterDiscussion 1 results for those students who particip was 78.7% (09/07/2017)	in their second written assignment

Course Outcomes	Assessment Measures	Results	Actions
Students will demonstrate the ability to formulate plans and strategy. Course Outcome Status: Active Next Assessment: 2016-2017 Start Date: 08/27/2013	Exam 2 Criterion: Discussion 3 70% or greater Exam 2 75% or higher	Criterion Met: Yes Discussion 3 results for those students who participated was 97.8% Exam 2 results for those students who posted their work was 87.2% (09/07/2017)	student develop their perfect benefit plan as an HR leader. Gre- participation, no changes planned Students exceeded expectation o this exam and it addresses key chapters well. No changes are planned. (09/07/2017)
Communicate in a management setting - Students will demonstrate the ability to communicate in a management setting. Course Outcome Status: Active Next Assessment: 2016-2017 Start Date: 08/27/2013	Discussion - Jung Typology Paper Discussion 2 Criterion: ung Typology Paper 70% or greater Discussion 2 70% or higher	Reporting Period: 2016-2017 Criterion Met: Yes Jung Typology Paper results for those students who submitted their work was 73.3% Discussion 2 results for those students who participated was 90.2% (09/07/2017)	 Action: Jung Typology project paper was a good assignment for students. Although they did not score as high as I would have like they did meet my criteria so no changes are planned. Students excelled in Discussion # topic with both high volume and quality engagements. (09/07/2017) Follow-Up: Introduced a new textbook this semester and multiple new assignments. Instructor notes that there was a higher number of students who just did not submit their work thi semester but cannot accurately attribute it to the new textbook or changes in instructor approach. No adjustments to the Jung Typology paper is required and retention of the two new Case Studies will prove a better gauge regards the student retention. It was noted by severa faculty that students this semester appeared to just not want to do the work required and in fact, failed to post work in several classes. (09/07/2017)