Assessment: Course Four Column



Courses (CTE) - Electrical Systems Technology

ELM 136: Programmable Controls App

Course Outcomes	Assessment Measures	Results	Actions
Software programs depending on type of PLC - Use different software programs depending on type of PLC. Course Outcome Status: Active Next Assessment: 2021-2022 Start Date: 10/10/2017	Assignment - Lab - Understanding the differences between software programs and their proprietary functions. This is done both in the lab and classroom. Criterion: Be able to write several ladder logic programs in different software applications.	Reporting Period: 2016-2017 Criterion Met: Yes Students were successful in writing PLC programs in various software applications. (10/12/2017)	Action: For the upcoming year, Mike Elbert and I are looking into another PLC program that has more features and functions. With our current software, we have reached the end of its capability. (10/12/2017)
Design PLC programs - Design PLC programs. Course Outcome Status: Active Next Assessment: 2021-2022 Start Date: 10/10/2017	Assignment - Lab - Given a set of parameters, be able to write a functioning program to upload into a PLC. Criterion: Write a program that follows programming rules, and functions as the technical description says it should.	Reporting Period: 2016-2017 Criterion Met: Yes Students were very successful in writing programs based on a set of given parameters. This is where students really begin to understand how the PLC program works. (10/12/2017)	Action: I don't feel as if anything needs to be done to this outcome. (10/12/2017)
Upload PLC programs into PLC CPU - Upload PLC programs into PLC CPU. Course Outcome Status: Active Next Assessment: 2021-2022 Start Date: 10/10/2017	Assignment - Lab - Connecting to a PLC with computer or laptop and transferring the program. Criterion: Successful transfer of program from computer to PLC. The program must operate when the computer is disconnected from the PLC.	Reporting Period: 2016-2017 Criterion Met: Yes The results from this outcome were a bit scattered. Students had a hard time at first remembering the steps that had to be completed for a successful upload. After a couple of times walking through the process, they were able to complete on their own. (10/12/2017)	Action: Develop a written set of instructions on how to properly connect with the PLC and transfer a program. (10/12/2017)

PLC as main source of control for an Assignment - Lab - Write a program

Course Outcomes	Assessment Measures	Results	Actions
application - Use PLC as main source of control for an application. Course Outcome Status: Active Next Assessment: 2021-2022 Start Date: 10/10/2017	for the Rice Factory lab exercise. The PLC is used for all control on this lab. Criterion: Successfully write a program that functions with only the PLC and no relay logic.	Reporting Period: 2016-2017 Criterion Met: Yes All students were able to complete this lab. Some had a harder time than others, but all were able to pass the exercise with some coaching where needed. (10/12/2017)	Action: Develop a second lab exercise to further this outcome. Having only one major lab seems to be a bit thin. (10/12/2017)
Troubleshoot problems - Troubleshoot problems associated with application using software and field troubleshooting. Course Outcome Status: Active Next Assessment: 2021-2022 Start Date: 10/10/2017	Assignment - Lab - Be able to identify problems using a PLC program as the main means of troubleshooting. Be able to correct the issue and verify with PLC. Criterion: Successful completion of Simutech PLC Troubleshooting program.	Reporting Period: 2016-2017 Criterion Met: No This was by far the student's most difficult task in this class. All students competed the program, but with varying results. (10/12/2017)	Action: This outcome needs to have a better teaching module to better serve the students. I will be developing this over the summer to make sure the students get the best possible experience for this learning outcome. (10/12/2017)